arXiv:1604.04046v1 [math.AG] 14 Apr 2016

ON EXTENDING SOULÉ'S VARIANT OF BLOCH-QUILLEN IDENTIFICATION

SEN YANG

Abstract. Based on Balmer's tensor triangular Chow group [2], we propose (Milnor)K-theoretic Chow groups of derived categories of schemes. These Milnor K-theoretic Chow groups recover the classical ones [6] for smooth projective varieties and can detect nilpotent, while the classical ones can't do.

As an application, we extend Soulé's variant of Bloch-Quillen identification from smooth projective varieties to their trivial infinitesimal thickenings. This answers affirmatively a question by Green-Griffiths for trivial deformations, see Question 1.1 below.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. K-theoretic Chow groups of derived categories 3
3. Milnor K-theoretic Chow groups of derived categories 6
3.1. Definition 6
3.2. Goodwillie isomorphism 8
3.3. Bloch's formula 13
References 23

1. Introduction

Let $f : \mathcal{X} \to S$ be a smooth projective morphism, where $S = \mathrm{Spec}(k[[t]])$ and k is a field of characteristic 0. Let $X_j = \mathcal{X} \times_S S_j$, where $S_j = \mathrm{Spec}(k[t]/t^{j+1})$. We use X to denote X_0, and call the family $\{X_j\}_j$ a deformation of X, where X_j is called the j-th infinitesimal thickening of X. In particular, the family $\{X_j\}_j$ is a trivial deformation of X and X_j is called the j-th trivial infinitesimal thickening of X, if, for each j, $X_j = X \times_k S_j$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05B35.

In [8, 9], Green-Griffiths studies infinitesimal deformations of Chow groups. Fundamental to their work is the Soulé's variant of the Bloch-Quillen identification

$$CH^p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = H^p(X, K_p^M(O_X))_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

where $K_p^M(O_X)$ is the Milnor K-theory sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$U \to K_p^M(O_X(U)).$$

On page 471 of [8], Green-Griffiths suggested that it would be interesting to extend Bloch-Quillen identification to infinitesimal thickening X_j:

Question 1.1. [8] *Let X_j denote the j-th infinitesimal thickening of X, do we have the following identification*

$$CH^p(X_j)_{\mathbb{Q}} = H^p(X_j, K_p^M(O_{X_j}))_{\mathbb{Q}} \ ?$$

The answer is "No" for the calssical Chow groups, since the classical Chow groups can't detect nilpotent. To be precise, we have

$$CH^p(X) = CH^p(X_j).$$

On the other hand, we know that

$$H^p(X, K_p^M(O_X)) \neq H^p(X_j, K_p^M(O_{X_j})).$$

This inspires us to propose a new definition of Chow groups capturing the nilpotent which is useful for studying deformation problems. Our starting point is to look at the derived category $D^{\text{perf}}(X)$ obtained from the exact category of perfect complexes of O_X-modules. It is obvious that the derived category $D^{\text{perf}}(X)$ is different from $D^{\text{perf}}(X_j)$.

In [2], Balmer introduces tensor triangular Chow groups. The new insight is to allow the coefficients of q-cycles to lie in Grothendieck groups of suitable triangulated categories. Balmer's idea is followed by S. Klein [13] and the author [20].

In Section 2, we recall Balmer's tensor triangular Chow groups and propose K-theoretic Chow groups of derived categoies of schemes by slightly modifying Balmer's. In Section 3.1, we propose Milnor K-theoretic Chow groups with an additional assumption. We compute relative K-groups with support in Section 3.2 and prove the main result in Section 3.3, which answers Question 1.1 for trivial infinitesimal thickening, i.e., for each j, $X_j = X \times_k S_j$. .

Acknowledge This note is a revision of [20]. The author is very grateful to Mark Green and Phillip Griffiths for asking interesting questions and for telling him their paper [8] which started [20]. He also thanks the following professors for discussions and/or comments on

[20]: Paul Balmer, Sebastian Klein, Claudio Pedrini, Marco Schlichting and Burt Totaro.

Many thanks to Jerome Hoffman and Ben Dribus for previous collaboration [5]. Part of results has been done in [19].

Conventions K-theory used in this note will be Thomason-Trobaugh K-theory [17], if not stated otherwise. For any abelian group M, $M_{\mathbb{Q}}$ denotes the image of M in $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. "Dimension" always means Krull dimension, while Balmer uses general dimension functions in [2].

2. K-theoretic Chow groups of derived categories

Let X be an equidimensional noetherian scheme over a field of finite Krull dimension d. As explained in [1], one can filter the tensor triangulated category $\mathcal{L} = D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)$ by dimension of support

$$\cdots \subset \mathcal{L}_{(p)}(X) \subset \mathcal{L}_{(p+1)}(X) \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{L},$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{(p)}(X)$ is defined to be

$$\mathcal{L}_{(p)}(X) := \{E \in D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X) \mid \mathrm{codim}_{\mathrm{Krull}}(\mathrm{supph(E)}) \geq -p\}.$$

Let $(\mathcal{L}_{(p)}(X)/\mathcal{L}_{(p-1)}(X))^{\#}$ denote the idempotent completion of the Verdier quotient $\mathcal{L}_{(p)}(X)/\mathcal{L}_{(p-1)}(X)$.

Theorem 2.1. [1] *Localization induces an equivalence*

$$(\mathcal{L}_{(p)}(X)/\mathcal{L}_{(p-1)}(X))^{\#} \simeq \bigsqcup_{x \in X^{(-p)}} D^{\mathrm{perf}}_x(X)$$

between the idempotent completion of the quotient $\mathcal{L}_{(p)}(X)/\mathcal{L}_{(p-1)}(X)$ and the coproduct over $x \in X^{(-p)}$ of the derived category of perfect complexes of $O_{X,x}$-modules with homology supported on the closed point $x \in \mathrm{Spec}(O_{X,x})$.

The short sequence

$$\mathcal{L}_{(p-1)}(X) \to \mathcal{L}_{(p)}(X) \to (\mathcal{L}_{(p)}(X)/\mathcal{L}_{(p-1)}(X))^{\#},$$

which is exact up to summand, induces the following homotopy fibration of K-theory spectrum:

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{L}_{(p-1)}(X)) \to \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{L}_{(p)}(X)) \to \mathcal{K}((\mathcal{L}_{(p)}(X)/\mathcal{L}_{(p-1)}(X))^{\#}).$$

As pointed out in [1], this fibration gives rise to a long exact sequence:

$$\cdots \to K_n(\mathcal{L}_{(p-1)}(X)) \to K_n(\mathcal{L}_{(p)}(X)) \xrightarrow{i} K_n((\mathcal{L}_p(X)/\mathcal{L}_{p-1}(X))^{\#}) \xrightarrow{k} K_{n-1}(\mathcal{L}_{(p-1)}(X)) \to \ldots,$$

which produces an exact couple as usual and then gives rise to the associated coniveau spectral sequence with E_1-term:

$$E_1^{p,q} = K_{-p-q}((\mathcal{L}_{(-p)}(X)/\mathcal{L}_{(-p-1)}(X))^{\#}),$$

the differential $d_{1,X}^{p,q}$ is the composition $i \circ k$ as usual

$$\begin{aligned} d_{1,X}^{p,q} :& K_{-p-q}((\mathcal{L}_{(-p)}(X)/\mathcal{L}_{(-p-1)}(X))^{\#}) \xrightarrow{k} K_{-p-q-1}(\mathcal{L}_{(-p-1)}(X)) \\ & \xrightarrow{i} K_{-p-q-1}((\mathcal{L}_{(-p-1)}(X)/\mathcal{L}_{(-p-2)}(X))^{\#}). \end{aligned}$$

Balmer's definition of tensor triangular Chow groups applies to the tensor triangulated category $\mathcal{L} = D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)$:

Definition 2.2. [2] *Let $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, one defines K-theoretic q-cycles associated to the tensor triangulated category $\mathcal{L} = D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)$ to be*

$$Z_q(\mathcal{L}) := K_0(\mathcal{L}_{(q)}/\mathcal{L}_{(q-1)})^{\#}) = \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(-q)}} K_0(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x),$$

where K_0 is the Grothendieck K-group(the quotient of the monoid of isomorphism class $[a]$ of objects under $\oplus$, by the submonoid of those $[a] + [\sum b] + [c]$ for which there exists a distinguish triangle $a \to b \to c \to \sum a$).

A K-theoretic q-cycles can be written as $\sum_{x \in X^{(-q)}} \lambda_x \cdot \overline{\{x\}}$, for $\sum_{x \in X^{(-q)}} \lambda_x \in \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(-q)}} K_0(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)$. Balmer's new insight is to allow coefficients λ_x to live in the Grothendieck groups, not $\mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.3. [2] *Let $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we use $\mathrm{Ker}(j)$ to denote the Kernel of $K_0(\mathcal{L}_{(q)}) \xrightarrow{j} K_0(\mathcal{L}_{(q+1)})$. The K-theoretic q-boundaries $B_q(\mathcal{L})$ is defined as the image of $\mathrm{Ker}(j)$ in $Z_q(\mathcal{L})$*

$$B_q(\mathcal{L}) := i \circ \mathrm{Ker}(j),$$

where $i : K_0(\mathcal{L}_{(q)}) \to K_0(\mathcal{L}_{(q)}/\mathcal{L}_{(q-1)})^{\#})(= Z_q(\mathcal{L}))$.

The K-theoretic Chow group of q-cycles in $\mathcal{L}$, denoted $CH_p(\mathcal{L})$, is defined to be the quotient of q-cycles by q-boundaries

$$CH_q(\mathcal{L}) := \frac{Z_q(\mathcal{L})}{B_q(\mathcal{L})}.$$

Definition 2.4. [1] *For X an equidimensional noetherian scheme over a field of finite Krull dimension d and for each integer q satisfying $1 \leq q \leq d+1$, the q-th* Gersten complex *G_q is defined to be the $(-q)$-th*

line of E_1-page of the above coniveau spectral sequence

$$G_q : 0 \to \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(0)}} K_q(O_{X,x}) \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q-1)}} K_1(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)$$
$$\xrightarrow{d_{1,X}^{q-1,-q}} \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q)}} K_0(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x) \xrightarrow{d_{1,X}^{q,-q}} \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q+1)}} K_{-1}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x) \to \cdots$$
$$\to \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(d)}} K_{q-d}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x) \to 0.$$

The q-th augmented Gersten complex*, still denoted G_q by abuse of notations, is defined to be the following complex,*

$$G_q : 0 \to K_q(X) \to \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(0)}} K_q(O_{X,x}) \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q-1)}} K_1(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)$$
$$\xrightarrow{d_{1,X}^{q-1,-q}} \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q)}} K_0(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x) \xrightarrow{d_{1,X}^{q,-q}} \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q+1)}} K_{-1}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x) \to \cdots$$
$$\to \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(d)}} K_{q-d}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x) \to 0.$$

Theorem 2.5. *Balmer's K-theoretic $(-q)$-boundaries $B_{-q}(\mathcal{L})$ for $\mathcal{L} = D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)$ agrees with the image of the differential $d_{1,X}^{q-1,-q}$:*

$$B_{-q}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathrm{Im}(d_{1,X}^{q-1,-q}).$$

Proof. The long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to K_1((\mathcal{L}_{(-q+1)}/\mathcal{L}_{(-q)})^{\#}) \xrightarrow{k} K_0(\mathcal{L}_{(-q)}) \xrightarrow{j} K_0(\mathcal{L}_{(-q+1)}) \to \ldots$$

shows that $\mathrm{Ker}(j) = \mathrm{Im}(k)$. So $B_{-q}(\mathcal{L}) = i \circ \mathrm{Ker}(j) = i \circ \mathrm{Im}(k)$, where $i : K_0(\mathcal{L}_{(-q)}) \to K_0((\mathcal{L}_{(-q)}/\mathcal{L}_{(-q-1)})^{\#})$.

The conclusion follows, since the differential $d_{1,X}^{q-1,-q}$ is the composition $d = i \circ k$

$$d_{1,X}^{q-1,-q} : K_1((\mathcal{L}_{(-q+1)}/\mathcal{L}_{(-q)})^{\#}) \xrightarrow{k} K_0(\mathcal{L}_{(-q)}) \xrightarrow{i} K_0((\mathcal{L}_{(-q)}/\mathcal{L}_{(-q-1)})^{\#}).$$

□

As pointed out in [1], taking idempotent completion can result in the appearance of negative K-groups. In order to include this important information into our study, we propose our K-theoretic Chow groups of $D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)$ by slightly modifying Balmer's as follows:

Definition 2.6. *The K-theoretic q-cycles and K-theoretic rational equivalence of (X, O_X), denoted $Z_q(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X))$ and $Z_{q,\mathrm{rat}}(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X))$ respectively, are defined to be*

$$Z_q(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)) := \mathrm{Ker}(d_{1,X}^{q,-q}), Z_{q,\mathrm{rat}}(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)) := \mathrm{Im}(d_{1,X}^{q-1,-q}).$$

The q-th K-theoretic Chow group of (X, O_X)*, denoted by* $CH_q(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X))$*, is defined to be*

$$CH_q(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)) := \frac{Z_q(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X))}{Z_{q,\mathrm{rat}}(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X))}.$$

It is clear that our K-theoretic Chow groups are cohomology groups of the Gersten complex and that these K-theoretic Chow groups are subgroups of Balmer's:

Corollary 2.7. *Let* $\mathcal{L} = D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)$*, we have the following:*

$$Z_q(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)) \subseteq Z_{-q}(\mathcal{L}),$$
$$Z_{q,\mathrm{rat}}(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)) = B_{-q}(\mathcal{L}),$$
$$CH_q(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)) \subseteq CH_{-q}(\mathcal{L}).$$

3. Milnor K-theoretic Chow groups of derived categories

3.1. **Definition.** Let X be an equidimensional noetherian scheme over a field of finite Krull dimension d and Y be a closed subset of X, by abuse of notations, we use $K_m^Q(X \text{ on } Y)$ to denote Quillen K-groups with supports. In [16], Soulé showed that there exists Adams operations ψ^k acting on Quillen K-groups with supports $K_m^Q(X \text{ on } Y)$, $m \geq 0$. According to Weibel [18], we can extend Adams operations ψ^k to negative range by descending induction.

For every integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have the following Bass fundamental exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to & K_m^Q(X \text{ on } Y) \to K_m^Q(X[t] \text{ on } Y[t]) \oplus K_m^Q(X[t^{-1}] \text{ on } Y[t^{-1}]) \\ & \to K_m^Q(X[t,t^{-1}] \text{ on } Y[t,t^{-1}]) \to K_{m-1}^Q(X \text{ on } Y) \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

For any $x \in K_{-1}^Q(X \text{ on } Y)$, we have $x \cdot t \in K_0^Q(X[t,t^{-1}] \text{ on } Y[t,t^{-1}])$, where $t \in K_1(k[t,t^{-1}])$. We have

$$\psi^k(x \cdot t) = \psi^k(x)\psi^k(t) = \psi^k(x)k \cdot t.$$

Tensoring with $\mathbb{Q}$, we have obtained Adams operations ψ^k on $K_{-1}^Q(X \text{ on } Y)$:

$$\psi^k(x) = \frac{\psi^k(x \cdot t)}{k \cdot t}.$$

Continuing this procedure, we obtain Adams operations on $K_m^Q(X \text{ on } Y)$, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We note that the Thomason-Trobaugh K-group with support $K_m(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)$, appearing in Definition 2.4, is isomorphic to Quillen K-group with support $K_m^Q(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)$. So Adams operations ψ^k exist on $K_m(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)$.

Keeping Soulé's variant of Bloch's formula in mind, we would like to define Milnor K-theoretic Chow groups of derived categories of schemes. However, we don't have Milnor K-group with support $K_m^M(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)$ directly. The following theorem of Soulé [16] suggests that we can use suitable eigenspace of Adams operations to rationally replace Milnor K-group.

Theorem 3.1. [16] *For X a smooth projective variety a field k of characteristic 0, let $K_m^M(O_X)$ and $K_m^{(m)}(O_X)$ denote the sheaves associated to the following presheaves respectively:*

$$U \to K_m^M(O_X(U)); U \to K_m^{(m)}(O_X(U)),$$

where $K_m^{(m)}$ is the eigenspace of $\psi^k = k^m$ and ψ^k is Adams operations, we have the following identification rationally

$$K_m^{(m)}(O_X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = K_m^M(O_X)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Following Soulé 's theorem, we define Milnor K-group with support $K_m^M(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)$ to be suitable eigenspace of $K_m(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)$:

Definition 3.2. *Let X be a d-equidimensional noetherian scheme and $x \in X^{(j)}$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, Milnor K-group with support $K_m^M(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)$ is rationally defined to be*

$$K_m^M(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x) := K_m^{(m+j)}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

where $K_m^{(m+j)}$ is the eigenspace of $\psi^k = k^{m+j}$.

The reason why we choose $K_m^{(m+j)}$ to define K_m^M is inspired by another theorem of Soulé,

Theorem 3.3. [16] *Riemann-Roch without denominator*

For X a smooth projective variety a field k of characteristic 0 and $\eta \in X^{(j)}$, we have(for any integer m and i)

$$K_m^{(i)}(O_{X,\eta} \text{ on } \eta)_{\mathbb{Q}} = K_m^{(i-j)}(k(\eta))_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

This theorem says that our definition of Milnor K-group with support is a honest generalization of the classical one, at least for regular case.

Next, we would like to define Milnor K-theoretic Chow groups of derived categories of schemes by mimicking Definition 2.6. In order to do that, we need to detect whether the differentials of the Gersten complex respect Adams' operations.

If the differentials $d_{1,X}^{p,-q}$ of the Gersten complex in Definition 2.4 respect Adams' operations, for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then there exists the following

refiner complex

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(0)}} K_q^{(i)}(O_{X,x}) \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q-1)}} K_1^{(i)}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x) \xrightarrow{d_{1,X}^{q-1,-q}} \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(i)}} K_0^{(i)}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)$$
$$\xrightarrow{d_{1,X}^{q,-q}} \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q+1)}} K_{-1}^{(i)}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x) \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(d)}} K_{q-d}^{(i)}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x) \to 0.$$

In particular, we obtain the following refiner complex by taking $i = q$:

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(0)}} K_q^{(q)}(O_{X,x}) \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q-1)}} K_1^{(q)}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x) \xrightarrow{d_{1,X}^{q-1,-q}} \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q)}} K_0^{(q)}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)$$
$$\xrightarrow{d_{1,X}^{q,-q}} \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q+1)}} K_{-1}^{(q)}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x) \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(d)}} K_{q-d}^{(q)}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x) \to 0.$$

Tensoring each term with $\mathbb{Q}$, this complex can be written as

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(0)}} K_q^{M}(O_{X,x}) \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q-1)}} K_1^{M}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x) \xrightarrow{d_{1,X}^{q-1,-q}} \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q)}} K_0^{M}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)$$
$$\xrightarrow{d_{1,X}^{q,-q}} \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q+1)}} K_{-1}^{M}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x) \to \ldots\cdots \to \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(d)}} K_{q-d}^{M}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x) \to 0.$$

Definition 3.4. *For X an equidimensional noetherian scheme over a field of finite Krull dimension d, **if** the differentials $d_{1,X}^{p,-q}$ of the Gersten complex respect Adams operations, then the Milnor K-theoretic q-cycles and Milnor K-theoretic rational equivalence of (X, O_X), denoted $Z_q^M(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X))$ and $Z_{q,\mathrm{rat}}^M(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X))$ respectively, are defined to be*

$$Z_q^M(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)) := \mathrm{Ker}(d_{1,X}^{q,-q}), Z_{q,\mathrm{rat}}^M(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)) := \mathrm{Im}(d_{1,X}^{q-1,-q}).$$

The q-th Milnor K-theoretic Chow group of (X, O_X), denoted by $CH_q^M(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X))$, is defined to be

$$CH_q^M(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)) := \frac{Z_q^M(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X))}{Z_{q,\mathrm{rat}}^M(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X))}.$$

In the following, we show that this definition is well-defined for smooth projective varieties and their trivial infinitesimal thickenings.

3.2. **Goodwillie isomorphism.** Let's recall that in [7] Goodwillie shows the relative Chern character is an isomorphism between the relative K-group $K_n(A, I)$ and negative cyclic homology $HN_n(A, I)$, where A is a commutative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra and I is a nilpotent ideal in A. This is further studied by Cathelineau.

Theorem 3.5. [3, 7] *Let I be a nilpotent ideal in a commutative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra A, the relative Chern character induces an isomorphism between the relative K-group $K_n(A, I)$ and negative cyclic homology $HN_n(A, I)$:*

$$\mathrm{Ch} : K_n(A, I)_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\cong} HN_n(A, I).$$

Furthermore, the relative Chern character respects Adams operations. That is,

$$\mathrm{Ch} : K_n^{(i)}(A, I)_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\cong} HN_n^{(i)}(A, I),$$

here $K_n^{(i)}$ and $HN_n^{(i)}$ are eigenspaces of $\psi^k = k^i$ and $\psi^k = k^{i+1}$ respectively.

In the Appendix B of [4], Cortiñas-Haesemeyer-Weibel shows a space version of Goodwillie isomorphism. For every nilpotent sheaf of ideal I, they define $K(O, I)$ and $HN(O, I)$ as the following presheaves respectively:

$$U \to K(O(U), I(U)), U \to HN(O(U), I(U))$$

They write $\mathcal{K}(O, I)$ and $\mathcal{HN}(O, I)$ for the presheaves of spectrum whose initial spaces are $K(O, I)$ and $HN(O, I)$ respectively. Moreover, they define $\mathcal{K}^{(i)}(O, I)$ as the homotopy fiber of $\mathcal{K}(O, I)$ on which $\psi^k - k^i$ acts acyclicly. $\mathcal{HN}^{(i)}(O, I)$ is defined similarly. Goodwillie and Cathelineau's isomorphisms can be generalized in the following way.

Theorem 3.6. [4] *The relative Chern character induces homotopy equivalence of spectra:*

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{Ch} : \mathcal{K}(O, I) \simeq \mathcal{HN}(O, I), \\ \mathrm{Ch} : \mathcal{K}^{(i)}(O, I) \simeq \mathcal{HN}^{(i)}(O, I). \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Now, let X be a noetherian scheme of finite type over a field k of characteristic 0. Let Y be a closed subset in X and $U = X - Y$ be the open complement. Let ε be a nilpotent of order n: $\varepsilon^n = 0$.

Let $\mathbb{H}(X, \bullet)$ denote Thomason's hypercohomology of spectra. We have the following commutative diagrams(each column and row are homotopy fibration):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbb{H}_Y(X, \mathcal{K}(O, \varepsilon)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}(X, \mathcal{K}(O, \varepsilon)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}(U, \mathcal{K}(O, \varepsilon)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{H}_Y(X, \mathcal{K}(O_X[\varepsilon])) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}(X, \mathcal{K}(O_X[\varepsilon])) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}(U, \mathcal{K}(O_U[\varepsilon])) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{H}_Y(X, \mathcal{K}(O_X)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}(X, \mathcal{K}(O_X)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}(U, \mathcal{K}(O_U))
\end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbb{H}_Y(X,\mathcal{HN}(O,\varepsilon)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}(X,\mathcal{HN}(O,\varepsilon)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}(U,\mathcal{HN}(O,\varepsilon)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{H}_Y(X,\mathcal{HN}(O_X[\varepsilon])) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}(X,\mathcal{HN}(O_X[\varepsilon])) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}(U,\mathcal{HN}(O_U[\varepsilon])) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{H}_Y(X,\mathcal{HN}(O_X)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}(X,\mathcal{HN}(O_X)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}(U,\mathcal{HN}(O_U)).
\end{array}$$

Since both $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{HN}$ satisfy Zariski descent, they satisfy

(3.2)
$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{H}_Y(X,\mathcal{K}(O_X)) = \mathcal{K}(X \text{ on } Y),\ \mathbb{H}_Y(X,\mathcal{HN}(O_X)) = \mathcal{HN}(X \text{ on } Y); \\ \mathbb{H}_Y(X,\mathcal{K}(O_X[\varepsilon])) = \mathcal{K}(X[\varepsilon] \text{ on } Y),\ \mathbb{H}_Y(X,\mathcal{HN}(O_X[\varepsilon])) = \mathcal{HN}(X[\varepsilon] \text{ on } Y). \end{cases}$$

The above diagrams show the following result

Corollary 3.7. *$\mathbb{H}_Y(X,\mathcal{K}(O,\varepsilon))$ and $\mathbb{H}_Y(X,\mathcal{HN}(O,\varepsilon))$ are the homotpy fibres of, respectively*

$$\mathbb{H}_Y(X,\mathcal{K}(O_X[\varepsilon])) \to \mathbb{H}_Y(X,\mathcal{K}(O_X)), \mathbb{H}_Y(X,\mathcal{HN}(O_X[\varepsilon])) \to \mathbb{H}_Y(X,\mathcal{HN}(O_X)).$$

Let $K_n(X[\varepsilon] \text{ on } Y[\varepsilon],\varepsilon)$ and $HN_n(X[\varepsilon] \text{ on } Y[\varepsilon],\varepsilon)$denote the relative groups with support, that is, the kernel of the natural projections:

$$K_n(X[\varepsilon] \text{ on } Y[\varepsilon]) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon=0} K_n(X \text{ on } Y), HN_n(X[\varepsilon] \text{ on } Y[\varepsilon]) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon=0} HN_n(X \text{ on } Y).$$

In other words, $K_n(X[\varepsilon] \text{ on } Y[\varepsilon],\varepsilon) = \mathbb{H}_Y^{-n}(X,\mathcal{K}(O,\varepsilon))$ and $HN_n(X[\varepsilon] \text{ on } Y[\varepsilon],\varepsilon) = \mathbb{H}_Y^{-n}(X,\mathcal{HN}(O,\varepsilon))$. So we have the following corollary of Theorem 3.6:

Corollary 3.8. *Let X be a noetherian scheme of finite type over a field k of characteristic 0. Let Y be a closed subset in X and let ε be a nilpotent of order n: $\varepsilon^n = 0$. We have*

$$K_n(X[\varepsilon] \text{ on } Y[\varepsilon],\varepsilon)_{\mathbb{Q}} = HN_n(X[\varepsilon] \text{ on } Y[\varepsilon],\varepsilon)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

According to the Appendix B of [4], there exists the following two splitting fibrations

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{K}^{(i)}(O,\varepsilon) \to \mathcal{K}(O,\varepsilon) \to \prod_{j\neq i}\mathcal{K}^{(j)}(O,\varepsilon), \\ \mathcal{HN}^{(i)}(O,\varepsilon) \to \mathcal{HN}(O,\varepsilon) \to \prod_{j\neq i}\mathcal{HN}^{(j)}(O,\varepsilon). \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Sine taking $\mathbb{H}_Y(X,-)$ perserves homotopy fibrations, there exists the following two splitting fibrations:

(3.4)
$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{H}_Y(X,\mathcal{K}^{(i)}(O,\varepsilon)) \to \mathbb{H}_Y(X,\mathcal{K}(O,\varepsilon)) \xrightarrow{\psi^k-k^i} \mathbb{H}_Y(X,\prod_{j\neq i}\mathcal{K}^{(j)}(O,\varepsilon)), \\ \mathbb{H}_Y(X,\mathcal{HN}^{(i)}(O,\varepsilon)) \to \mathbb{H}_Y(X,\mathcal{HN}(O,\varepsilon)) \xrightarrow{\psi^k-k^{i+1}} \mathbb{H}_Y(X,\prod_{j\neq i}\mathcal{HN}^{(j)}(O,\varepsilon)). \end{cases}$$

Passing to group level, we obtain the following results:

(3.5)
$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{H}_Y^{-n}(X, \mathcal{K}^{(i)}(O,\varepsilon))_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{x \in \mathbb{H}_Y^{-n}(X, \mathcal{K}(O,\varepsilon)) | \psi^k(x) - k^i x = 0\}, \\ \mathbb{H}_Y^{-n}(X, \mathcal{HN}^{(i)}(O,\varepsilon))_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{x \in \mathbb{H}_Y^{-n}(X, \mathcal{HN}(O,\varepsilon)) | \psi^k(x) - k^{i+1} x = 0\}. \end{cases}$$

Let $K_n^{(i)}(X[\varepsilon] \text{ on } Y[\varepsilon], \varepsilon)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $HN_n^{(i)}(X[\varepsilon] \text{ on } Y[\varepsilon], \varepsilon)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ denote the weight i eigenspaces of relative groups with support, that is, the kernel of the natural projections:

$$K_n^{(i)}(X[\varepsilon] \text{ on } Y[\varepsilon])_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon=0} K_n^{(i)}(X \text{ on } Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}, HN_n^{(i)}(X[\varepsilon] \text{ on } Y[\varepsilon])_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon=0} HN_n^{(i)}(X \text{ on } Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Then we have the following identifications:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{H}_Y^{-n}(X, \mathcal{K}^{(i)}(O,\varepsilon))_{\mathbb{Q}} = K_n^{(i)}(X[\varepsilon] \text{ on } Y[\varepsilon], \varepsilon)_{\mathbb{Q}}, \\ \mathbb{H}_Y^{-n}(X, \mathcal{HN}^{(i)}(O,\varepsilon))_{\mathbb{Q}} = HN_n^{(i)}(X[\varepsilon] \text{ on } Y[\varepsilon], \varepsilon)_{\mathbb{Q}}. \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

Therefore, the homotopy equivalences

$$\mathcal{K}(O,\varepsilon) \simeq \mathcal{HN}(O,\varepsilon), \mathcal{K}^{(i)}(O,\varepsilon) \simeq \mathcal{HN}^{(i)}(O,\varepsilon)$$

give us the following refiner result:

Theorem 3.9. *Let X be a noetherian scheme of finite type over a field k of characteristic 0. Let Y be a closed subset in X and let ε be a nilpotent of order n: $\varepsilon^n = 0$, we have the following identification:*

$$K_n^{(i)}(X[\varepsilon] \text{ on } Y[\varepsilon], \varepsilon)_{\mathbb{Q}} = HN_n^{(i)}(X[\varepsilon] \text{ on } Y[\varepsilon], \varepsilon)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Let R be a regular noetherian domain and also be a commutative $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra, and let ε be a nilpotent of order n: $\varepsilon^n = 0$. We consider $R[\varepsilon]$ as a graded $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra, it is known that the relative negative cyclic homology $HN_m^{(i)}(R[\varepsilon], \varepsilon)$ can be identified with absolute differentials as follows:

$$\begin{cases} HN_m^{(i)}(R[\varepsilon], \varepsilon) & = (\Omega_{R/\mathbb{Q}}^{2i-m-1})^{\oplus n-1}, \text{for } \frac{m}{2} < i \leq m; \\ HN_m^{(i)}(R[\varepsilon], \varepsilon) & = 0, \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

$$HN_m(R[\varepsilon], \varepsilon) = (\Omega_{R/\mathbb{Q}}^{m-1} \oplus \Omega_{R/\mathbb{Q}}^{m-3} \oplus \dots)^{\oplus n-1}. \tag{3.8}$$

the last term is $\Omega_{R/\mathbb{Q}}^1$ or R, depending on m even or odd. E.g., Geller-Weibel [10] computed the eigenspace of K-groups of truncated polynomials, see Application 5.6 on page 29. See also the survey by Hesselholt [12].

Now, we explicitly compute the relative negative cyclic groups with support.

Theorem 3.10. *Let X be a smooth projective variety over a field k of characteristic 0 and $y \in X^{(p)}$. Let ε be a nilpotent of order n: $\varepsilon^n = 0$. For any integer m, we have*

$$\begin{cases} HN_m(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon], \varepsilon) \cong H^p_y(\Omega^\bullet_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}}), \\ HN^{(i)}_m(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon], \varepsilon) \cong H^p_y(\Omega^{\bullet,(i)}_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}}), \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

where $(\Omega^\bullet_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}} = \Omega^{m+p-1}_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \Omega^{m+p-3}_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \dots)^{\oplus n-1}$ *and*

$$\begin{cases} \Omega^{\bullet,(i)}_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}} & = (\Omega^{2i-(m+p)-1}_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}})^{\oplus n-1}, \text{for } \frac{m+p}{2} < \ i \leq m+p, \\ \Omega^{\bullet,(i)}_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}} & = 0, \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. $O_{X,y}$ is a regular local ring with dimension p, so the depth of $O_{X,y}$ is p. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\Omega^n_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}}$ can be written as a direct limit of direct sum of $O_{X,y}$'s(as $O_{X,y}$-module), though $\Omega^n_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}}$ is not of finite type. Therefore, $\Omega^n_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}}$ has depth p.

$HN_m(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon], \varepsilon)$ can be identified with the hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^{-m}_y(O_{X,y}, HN(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon], \varepsilon))$, where $HN(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon], \varepsilon)$ is the relative negative cyclic complex, that is, the kernel of

$$HN(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon]) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon=0} HN(O_{X,y}).$$

There is a spectral sequence :

$$H^{-m-q}_y(O_{X,y}, H^q(HN(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon], \varepsilon))) \Longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{-m}_y(HN(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon], \varepsilon)).$$

By Formula (3.8) on page 11, we have

$$H^q(HN(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon], \varepsilon)) = HN_{-q}(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon], \varepsilon) = (\Omega^{-q-1}_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \Omega^{-q-3}_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \dots)^{\oplus n-1}.$$

As each $\Omega^n_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}}$ has depth p, only $H^p_y(X, H^q(HN(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon], \varepsilon)))$ can survive because of the depth condition. This means $q = -m - p$ and

$$H^{-m-p}(HN(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon], \varepsilon)) = HN_{m+p}(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon], \varepsilon) = (\Omega^{m+p-1}_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \Omega^{m+p-3}_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \dots)^{\oplus n-1}.$$

Let's write

$$\Omega^\bullet_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}} = (\Omega^{m+p-1}_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \Omega^{m+p-3}_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \dots)^{\oplus n-1},$$

thus

$$\mathbb{H}^{-m}_y(HN(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon], \varepsilon)) = H^p_y(\Omega^\bullet_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}}),$$

this means

$$HN_m(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon], \varepsilon) = H^p_y(\Omega^\bullet_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}}).$$

The proof of $HN^{(i)}_m$ works similarly. □

Corollary 3.11. *Under the same assumption as above, relative Chern character induces the following isomorphisms between relative K-groups and local cohomology groups:*

$$\begin{cases} K_m(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon],\varepsilon)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong H^p_y(\Omega^{\bullet}_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}}), \\ K^{(i)}_m(O_{X,y}[\varepsilon] \text{ on } y[\varepsilon],\varepsilon)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong H^p_y(\Omega^{\bullet,(i)}_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}}), \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

where $\Omega^{\bullet}_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}} = (\Omega^{m+p-1}_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \Omega^{m+p-3}_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \dots)^{\oplus n-1}$*; and*

$$\begin{cases} \Omega^{\bullet,(i)}_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}} & = (\Omega^{2i-(m+p)-1}_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}})^{\oplus n-1}, \text{for } \frac{m+p}{2} < \ i \leq m+p; \\ \Omega^{\bullet,(i)}_{O_{X,y}/\mathbb{Q}} & = 0, \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

3.3. **Bloch's formula.** Recall that X_j is the j-th trivial infinitesimal thickening of X, that is, $X_j = X \times_k S_j$, where $S_j = \mathrm{Spec}(k[t]/(t^{j+1}))$. The aim of this subsection is to extend Bloch's formula from X to its infinitesimal thieckening X_j.

Let $i_j : X \to X_{j+1}$ denote the closed immersion and $p_j : X_j \to X$ denote the natural projection. We have $p_j \circ i_j = \text{Identity}$. i_j induces pull-back between K-theory spectra: $i_j^* : \mathcal{K}(X_j) \to \mathcal{K}(X)$. i_j^* further induces maps between coniveau spectral sequences, recalled in Section 2:

$$i_j^* : E_1^{p,q}(X_j) \to E_1^{p,q}(X).$$

This gives us the following commutative diagram:



Theorem 3.12. *There exists the following* ***splitting*** *commutative diagram(the integer $q \geq 1$) in which the Zariski sheafification of each*

column is a flasque resolution:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Omega^{\bullet}_{X/\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & K_q(X_j) & \xrightarrow{i_j^*} & K_q(X) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Omega^{\bullet}_{k(X)/\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & K_q(k(X)_j) & \xrightarrow{\vdots} & K_q(k(X)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\bigoplus_{x\in X^{(1)}} H^1_x(\Omega^{\bullet}_{X/\mathbb{Q}}) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x_j\in X_j^{(1)}} K_{q-1}(O_{X_j,x_j} \text{ on } x_j) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(1)}} K_{q-1}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q-1)}} H^{q-1}_x(\Omega^{\bullet}_{X/\mathbb{Q}}) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x_j\in X_j^{(q-1)}} K_1(O_{X_j,x_j} \text{ on } x_j) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q-1)}} K_1(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_{1,X_j}^{q-1,-q}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_{1,X}^{q-1,-q}} \\
\bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q)}} H^q_x(\Omega^{\bullet}_{X/\mathbb{Q}}) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x_j\in X_j^{(q)}} K_0(O_{X_j,x_j} \text{ on } x_j) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q)}} K_0(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_{1,X_j}^{q,-q}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_{1,X}^{q,-q}} \\
\bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q+1)}} H^{q+1}_x(\Omega^{\bullet}_{X/\mathbb{Q}}) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x_j\in X_j^{(q+1)}} K_{-1}(O_{X_j,x_j} \text{ on } x_j) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q+1)}} K_{-1}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_{1,X_j}^{q+1,-q}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_{1,X}^{q+1,-q}} \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\bigoplus_{x\in X^{(d)}} H^d_x(\Omega^{\bullet}_{X/\mathbb{Q}}) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x_j\in X_j^{(d)}} K_{q-d}(O_{X_j,x_j} \text{ on } x_j) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(d)}} K_{q-d}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & & 0 & & 0,
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{cases}
\Omega^{\bullet}_{X/\mathbb{Q}} &= (\Omega^{q-1}_{X/\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \Omega^{q-3}_{X/\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \dots)^{\oplus j}, \\
\Omega^{\bullet}_{k(X)/\mathbb{Q}} &= (\Omega^{q-1}_{k(X)/\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \Omega^{q-3}_{k(X)/\mathbb{Q}} \oplus \dots)^{\oplus j}.
\end{cases}
$$

Proof. The left column, which is the classical Cousin complex [11], can be obtained by direct computation, using Corollary 3.11.

The natural projection $p_j : X_j \to X$ splits the closed immersion $i_j : X \to X_{j+1}$. Consequently, The pull-back of p_j:

$$p_j^* : E_1^{p,q}(X) \to E_1^{p,q}(X_j),$$

splits

$$i_j^* : E_1^{p,q}(X_j) \to E_1^{p,q}(X).$$

This further splits the commutative diagram.

Since X is smooth, the sheafifications of both the left and right column are flasque resolutions. So is the middle, which is the direct sum of the left and right column. □

Next, we want to use Adams operations ψ^k to refiner the diagram in Theorem 3.12. Since q can be any integer(≥ 1) there, negative K-groups might appear. One can use Weibel's method to extend Adams' operations to negative K-groups, as recalled in the beginning of Section 3.1. In the following, $K_*^{(i)}$ denotes the eigenspace of $\psi^k = k^i$.

Since X is smooth, we note that negative K-groups(if appear) of the right column Theorem 3.12 are 0 and this Gersten complex agrees with the one in [16],

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to & K_q(X) \to \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(0)}} K_q(k(X)) \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q-1)}} K_1(k(x)) \\ & \xrightarrow{d_{1,X}^{q-1,-q}} \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q)}} K_0(k(x)) \xrightarrow{d_{1,X}^{q,-q}} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can use Adams operations , defined at space level [16], to decompose this complex directly. And for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then there exists the following refiner complex

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to & K_q^{(i)}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to K_q^{(i)}(k(X))_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q-1)}} K_1^{(i)}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ & \xrightarrow{d_{1,X}^{q-1,-q}} \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q)}} K_0^{(i)}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{d_{1,X}^{q,-q}} 0, \end{aligned}$$

which agrees with the following complex in [16],

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to & K_q^{(i)}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to K_q^{(i)}(k(X))_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q-1)}} K_1^{(i-(q-1))}(k(x))_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ & \xrightarrow{d_{1,X}^{q-1,-q}} \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q)}} K_0^{(i-q)}(k(x))_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{d_{1,X}^{q,-q}} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\partial_1^{p,q}$ denote the differentials of the left column in Theorem 3.12. Since $\partial_1^{p,q}$ respects Adams operations(Adams operations on $H_x^*(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Q}}^\bullet)$

is induced from the isomorphism in Corollary 3.11):

$$\partial_1^{p,q} : \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(-p)}} H_x^{-p}(\Omega^{\bullet,(i)}_{X/\mathbb{Q}}) \to \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(-p+1)}} H_x^{-p+1}(\Omega^{\bullet,(i)}_{X/\mathbb{Q}}),$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \Omega^{\bullet,(i)}_{X/\mathbb{Q}} &= (\Omega^{2i-q-1}_{X/\mathbb{Q}})^{\oplus j}, \text{for } \frac{q}{2} < \ i \leq q; \\ \Omega^{\bullet,(i)}_{X/\mathbb{Q}} &= 0, \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{3.13}$$

Since the spectral sequence $E_1^{p,q}(X_j)$ splits as $E_1^{p,q}(X) \oplus \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(-p)}} H_x^{-p}(\Omega^{\bullet}_{X/\mathbb{Q}})$ and the differential $d_{1,X_j}^{p,q}$ splits as $d_{1,X}^{p,q} \oplus \partial_1^{p,q}$, so $d_{1,X_j}^{p,q}$ respects Adams operations:

$$d_{1,X_j}^{p,q} : E_1^{p,q}(X_j)^{(i)} \to E_1^{p,q}(X_j)^{(i)}.$$

So we have the following complex:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to & K_q^{(i)}(X_j)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to K_q^{(i)}(k(X_j))_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{x_j\in X_j^{(q-1)}} K_1^{(i)}(O_{X_j,x_j} \text{ on } x_j)_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{d_{1,X_j}^{q-1,-q}} \bigoplus_{x_j\in X_j^{(q)}} K_0^{(i)}(O_{X_j,x_j} \text{ on } x_j)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ & \xrightarrow{d_{1,X_j}^{q,-q}} \bigoplus_{x_j\in X_j^{(q-1)}} K_{-1}^{(i)}(O_{X_j,x_j} \text{ on } x_j)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_{x_j\in X_j^{(d)}} K_{q-d}^{(i)}(O_{X_j,x_j} \text{ on } x_j)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
K_q^{(i)}(X_j)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{i_j^*} & K_q^{(i)}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
K_q^{(i)}(k(X)_j)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\vdots} & K_q^{(i)}(k(X))_{\mathbb{Q}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\bigoplus_{x_j \in X_j^{(1)}} K_{q-1}^{(i)}(O_{X_j,x_j} \text{ on } x_j)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} K_{q-1}^{(i)}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\bigoplus_{x_j \in X_j^{(q-1)}} K_1^{(i)}(O_{X_j,x_j} \text{ on } x_j)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(q-1)}} K_1^{(i)}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle d_{1,X_j}^{q-1,-q}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_{1,X}^{q-1,-q}} \\
\bigoplus_{x_j \in X_j^{(q)}} K_0^{(i)}(O_{X_j,x_j} \text{ on } x_j)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(q)}} K_0^{(i)}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle d_{1,X_j}^{q,-q}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_{1,X}^{q,-q}} \\
\bigoplus_{x_j \in X_j^{(q+1)}} K_{-1}^{(i)}(O_{X_j,x_j} \text{ on } x_j)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(q+1)}} K_{-1}^{(i)}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle d_{1,X_j}^{q+1,-q}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_{1,X}^{q+1,-q}} \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\bigoplus_{x_j \in X_j^{(d)}} K_{q-d}^{(i)}(O_{X_j,x_j} \text{ on } x_j)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(d)}} K_{q-d}^{(i)}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & & 0.
\end{array}
$$

Theorem 3.13. *There exists the following* ***splitting*** *commutative diagram(the integer $q \geq 1$) in which each column is a complex whose*

Zariski sheafification is a flasque resolution.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Omega^{\bullet,(i)}_{X/\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & K^{(i)}_q(X_j)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{i_j^*} & K^{(i)}_q(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Omega^{\bullet,(i)}_{k(X)/\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & K^{(i)}_q(k(X)_j)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\vdots} & K^{(i)}_q(k(X))_{\mathbb{Q}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\bigoplus_{x\in X^{(1)}} H^1_x(\Omega^{\bullet,(i)}_{X/\mathbb{Q}}) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x_j\in X_j^{(1)}} K^{(i)}_{q-1}(O_{X_j,x_j} \text{ on } x_j)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(1)}} K^{(i)}_{q-1}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q-1)}} H^{q-1}_x(\Omega^{\bullet,(i)}_{X/\mathbb{Q}}) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x_j\in X_j^{(q-1)}} K^{(i)}_1(O_{X_j,x_j} \text{ on } x_j)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q-1)}} K^{(i)}_1(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\
\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle d^{q-1,-q}_{1,X_j}}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle d^{q-1,-q}_{1,X}}\downarrow \\
\bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q)}} H^q_x(\Omega^{\bullet,(i)}_{X/\mathbb{Q}}) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x_j\in X_j^{(q)}} K^{(i)}_0(O_{X_j,x_j} \text{ on } x_j)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q)}} K^{(i)}_0(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\
\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle d^{q,-q}_{1,X_j}}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle d^{q,-q}_{1,X}}\downarrow \\
\bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q+1)}} H^{q+1}_x(\Omega^{\bullet,(i)}_{X/\mathbb{Q}}) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x_j\in X_j^{(q+1)}} K^{(i)}_{-1}(O_{X_j,x_j} \text{ on } x_j)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q+1)}} K^{(i)}_{-1}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\bigoplus_{x\in X^{(d)}} H^d_x(\Omega^{\bullet,(i)}_{X/\mathbb{Q}}) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x_j\in X_j^{(d)}} K^{(i)}_{q-d}(O_{X_j,x_j} \text{ on } x_j)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(d)}} K^{(i)}_{q-d}(O_{X,x} \text{ on } x)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & & 0 & & 0,
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{cases} \Omega^{\bullet,(i)}_{X/\mathbb{Q}} &= (\Omega^{2i-q-1}_{X/\mathbb{Q}})^{\oplus j}, \text{for } \frac{q}{2} < \ i \leq q; \\ \Omega^{\bullet,(i)}_{X/\mathbb{Q}} &= 0, \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{3.14}
$$

Proof. We only need to check Zariski sheafification of each column is a flasque resolution. This is true for the left and right column, so is the middle one which is the direct sum of the left and right ones. □

In particular, we are interested in the "Milnor K-theory". Letting $i = q$, one have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.14. *There exists the following* ***splitting*** *commutative diagram(the integer $q \geq 1$) in which each column is a complex whose*

Zariski sheafification is a flasque resolution.



The middle and right columns are complexes, so Definition 3.4 applies:

Corollary 3.15. *The Milnor K-theoretic q-cycles and rational equivalence of* (X, O_X) *are defined to be*

$$Z^M_q(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)) = \mathrm{Ker}(d^{q,-q}_{1,X}),\ Z^M_{q,\mathrm{rat}}(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)) = \mathrm{Im}(d^{q-1,-q}_{1,X}).$$

The q-th Milnor K-theoretic Chow group of (X, O_X) *is defined to be*

$$CH_q^M(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)) = \frac{Z_q^M(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X))}{Z_{q,\mathrm{rat}}^M(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X))}.$$

The Milnor K-theoretic q-cycles and rational equivalence of (X, O_{X_j}) *are defined to be*

$$Z_q^M(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X_j)) = \mathrm{Ker}(d_{1,X_j}^{q,-q}),\ Z_{q,\mathrm{rat}}^M(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X_j)) = \mathrm{Im}(d_{1,X_j}^{q-1,-q}).$$

The q-th Milnor K-theoretic Chow group of (X, O_{X_j}) *is defined to be*

$$CH_q^M(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X_j)) = \frac{Z_q^M(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X_j))}{Z_{q,\mathrm{rat}}^M(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X_j))}.$$

Agreement. We now prove that our Milnor K-theoretic Chow group rationally agrees with the classical ones for smooth projective varieties.

Theorem 3.16. *For* X *a smooth projective variety over a field* k *of characteristic* 0*, let* $Z^q(X)$*,* $Z^q_{\mathrm{rat}}(X)$ *and* $CH^q(X)$ *denote the classical q-cycles, rational equivalence and Chow groups respectively, then we have the following identifications*

$$Z_q^M(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)) = Z^q(X)_{\mathbb{Q}},$$
$$Z_{q,\mathrm{rat}}^M(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)) = Z^q_{\mathrm{rat}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}},$$
$$CH_q^M(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)) = CH^q(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Proof. Since X is smooth, Soulé's Riemann-Roch without denominator, see Theorem 3.3, shows that the right column in Theorem 3.14 agrees with the following classical sequence,

$$0 \to K_q^M(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to K_q^M(k(X))_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(1)}} K_{q-1}^M(k(x))_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \dots$$
$$\to \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q-1)}} K_1^M(k(x))_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{d_{1,X}^{q-1,-q}} \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q)}} K_0^M(k(x))_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{d_{1,X}^{q,-q}} 0.$$

Noting $K_0^M(k(x))_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}$, one has

$$Z_q^M(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)) = \mathrm{Ker}(d_{1,X}^{q,-q}) = \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(q)}} K_0^M(k(x))_{\mathbb{Q}} = Z^q(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Since $K_1^M(k(x))_{\mathbb{Q}} = K_1(k(x))_{\mathbb{Q}}$, as explained in Quillen's proof of Bloch's formula, the image of $d_{1,X}^{q-1,-q}$ gives the rational equivalence. Hence,

$$Z_{q,\mathrm{rat}}^M(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)) = \mathrm{Im}(d_{1,X}^{q-1,-q}) = Z^q_{\mathrm{rat}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Therefore, we have the following identification

$$CH_q^M(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)) = CH^q(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

□

We obtain the following **Bloch's formulas**, which gives a positive answer to Green-Griffiths' **Question 1.1**.

Theorem 3.17. *We have the following identifications:*

$$CH_q^M(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X)) = H^q(X, K_q^{(q)}(O_X))_{\mathbb{Q}} = H^q(X, K_q^M(O_X))_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

$$CH_q^M(D^{\mathrm{perf}}(X_j)) = H^q(X, K_q^{(q)}(O_{X_j}))_{\mathbb{Q}} = H^q(X, K_q^M(O_{X_j}))_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Proof. Immediately from the flasque resolutions of sheafifications of columns in Theorem 3.14. □

References

[1] P. Balmer, *Niveau spectral sequences on singular schemes and failure of generalized Gersten conjecture*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 137, no 1 (2009), pp. 99-06.

[2] P. Balmer, *Tensor triangular Chow groups*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, 72(2013), 3-6.

[3] J.L. Cathelineau, *lambda structures in Algebraic K-theory and Cyclic Homology*, K-theory 4 (1991), 591-606.

[4] G. Cortiñas, C. Haesemeyer and C. Weibel, *Infinitesimal cohomology and the Chern character to negative cyclic homology*, Math. Annalen 344 (2009), 891-922.

[5] B. Dribus, J.W. Hoffman and S. Yang, *Infinitesimal Theory of Chow Groups via K-theory, Cyclic Homology and the Relative Chern Character*, available at arXiv:1501.07525.

[6] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, Second edition. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], 2. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. xiv+470 pp. ISBN: 3-540-62046-X;

[7] T. Goodwillie, *Relative algebraic K-theory and cyclic homology*, Ann. of Math. 124 (1986), 347-402.

[8] M. Green and P. Griffiths, *Formal deformation of Chow groups*, The legacy of Niels Henrik Abel. (2004) 467-509 Springer, Berlin.

[9] M. Green and P. Griffiths, *On the Tangent space to the space of algebraic cycles on a smooth algebraic variety*, Annals of Math Studies, 157. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2005, vi+200 pp. ISBN: 0-681-12044-7.

[10] S. Geller and C. Weibel, *Hodge Decompositions of Loday symbols in K-theory and cyclic homology*, K-theory 8 (1994), 587-632.

[11] R. Hartshorne, *Residues and duality*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 20 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1966 vii+423 pp.

[12] L. Hesselholt, *K-theory of truncated polynomial algebras*, Handbook of K-theory, vol. 1, pp. 71-110, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.

[13] S. Klein, *Chow groups of tensor-triangulated categories*, preprint, 4 Jan 2013, available at arXiv:1301.0707v1.

[14] J.L. Loday, *Cyclic homology*, volume 301 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992. Appendix E by María O. Ronco.
[15] D. Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory*, I. In: Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972). Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 341, pp. 85147. Springer, Berlin (1973).
[16] C. Soulé, *Opérations en K-théorie algébrique*, Canad. J. Math. 37 (1985), 488-550.
[17] R. W. Thomason and T. Trobaugh, *Higher algebraic K-theory of schemes and of derived categories*, In The Grothendieck Festschrift, Volume III, volume 88 of Progress in Math., pages 247-436. Birkhäuser, Boston, Basél, Berlin, 1990.
[18] C. Weibel, *Pic is a contracted functor*, Invent. Math. 103 (1991), 351-377.
[19] S. Yang, *Higher algebraic K-theory and tangent spaces to Chow groups*, Louisiana State University, thesis, available at arXiv:1310.6773.
[20] S. Yang, *K-theoretic Chow groups of derived categories of schemes-on a question by Green-Griffiths*, available at arXiv:1311.0066.

Yau Mathematical Sciences Center, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China,

E-mail address: syang@math.tsinghua.edu.cn; senyangmath@gmail.com